

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,224		07/15/2003	Andreas Kull	57517-44	8522
29619	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHULT	ΓE RO	TH & ZABEL LLP	FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
ATTN: JO 919 THIR		LUTZKER ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, N	Y 10022	3682	_ ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	E
cant(s)	<i>V</i>
ET AL.	
nit	
ondence address	
Diluelice address	
ОМ	
onsidered timely. g date of this communication. s.C. § 133). uce any	
on as to the merits is . 213.	
:	
er.	
R 1.85(a).	
o. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
or form PTO-152.	
<b>(f)</b> .	
is National Stage	
3)	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/620,224	KULL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Lenard A. Footland	3682						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	·							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachment(s)								
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-24-03.	5) Notice of Informal Page 2 16 Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 081004						

v.s. PT

and " 3 of 3". Typo: AF

Application/Control Number: 10/620,224

Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 8 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Cordova et al. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See reservoir 11.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Cordova et al. as set forth in the rejection of claim(s) 8 above, and further in view of official notice of

Application/Control Number: 10/620,224

Art Unit: 3682

common knowledge in the art, or, in the alternative, engineering design choice.

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional feature(s) in question since it was known in the art to do so to provide the function(s) disclosed.

Alternatively, the examiner finds that the broad provision of this/these features *vis-à-vis* that/those disclosed by the reference, solves no stated problem insofar as the record is concerned and, accordingly, would have been an obvious matter of design choice. See *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

Claim(s) 1-7 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,224

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf August 10, 2004